Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jong-Ha Choi,^a* Takayoshi Suzuki^b and Sumio Kaizaki^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Andong National University, Andong 760-749, Republic of Korea, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: jhchoi@andong.ac.kr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 200 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å H-atom completeness 96% Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.030 wR factor = 0.117 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Received 11 August 2006 Accepted 25 August 2006

(5,16-Dimethyl-2,6,13,17-tetraazatricyclo-[14,4,0^{1,18},0^{7,12}]docosane- $\kappa^4 N$)dinitratocopper(II) trihydrate

In the title compound, $[Cu(NO_3)_2(C_{20}H_{40}N_4)]$ ·3H₂O, the Cu atom lies on a crystallographic inversion centre and has a distorted octahedral geometry, with four N atoms of the macrocyclic ligand in the equatorial positions and two O atoms of the axial nitrate ligands. The long axial Cu–O bond of 2.746 (2) Å may result from the Jahn–Teller effect. The macrocyclic ligand adopts the most stable *trans*-III configuration with the two six-membered rings in chair form and two five-membered rings in a gauche form.

Comment

Tetraazamacrocyclic ligands are moderately flexible and can adopt both planar (trans) and folded (cis) configurations. For 14-membered tetraazamacrocycles such as cyclam, there are five configurational isomers, which differ in the set of chiralities of the sec-NH centres (Bakaj & Zimmer, 1999). It is also found that the physical, chemical and spectroscopic properties of copper(II) tetraazamacrocycle complxes are largely influenced by structural characteristics such as stereochemistry, chelate-ring size and substituents of the macrocycles (Bakaj & Zimmer, 1999). For a modified cyclam ligand with two 1,2diaminocyclohexane subunits and two methyl groups attached to the backbone C atoms, 5,16-dimethyl-2,6,13,17-tetraazatricyclo[14,4,0^{1.18},0^{7.12}]docosane (L), only a limited number of Cu^{II}, Ni^{II} and Zn^{II} complexes have been described previously (Kang et al., 1991; Kang & Kim, 2003; Choi et al., 1996, 1997, 1998; Choi, 2004). Here, we report the structure of a Cu^{II} nitrate complex with the cyclam-based macrocycle L, $[Cu(NO_3)_2(L)] \cdot 3H_2O, (I).$



As shown in Fig. 1, the Cu1 atom lies on a crystallographic inversion centre and has a tetragonally distorted octahedral coordination environment, comprising four N atoms of the macrocycle in the equatorial positions and two O atoms, each from one nitrato ligand, in the elongated axial positions. The axial Cu-O bond length is relatively long (Table 1), which

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

metal-organic papers

may be due to the Jahn-Teller effect. The equatorial Cu-N bond lengths are approximately equal and can be compared to those in the related complexes, $[Cu(L)(bip)_2]$ (bip = 2benzimidazolepropionate) and $[Cu(L)(H_2O)_2]Cl_2$ (Choi et al., 1996; Choi, 2004). Two methyl groups on the six-membered chelate rings are in the anti-positions with respect to the macrocycle plane. In the 14-membered macrocycle, the fiveand six-membered chelate rings adopt a gauche and a chair conformation, respectively. The chelate bite angles of the fiveand six-membered rings are 85.04(7) and $94.96(7)^{\circ}$, respectively. The dimensions of the L ligand are normal, compared to the uncoordinated tetraazamacrocycle itself (Choi et al., 2006).

The complex is stabilized by the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the uncoordinated O atoms of the nitrato ligands and the secondary NH groups of the L ligand (Fig. 1 and Table 2). There are also intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure. Atom N2 donates a H atom to O3 of the neighbouring molecule at (x, y + 1, z). The water molecule (O4) forms hydrogen bonds with the nitrate groups of two neighbouring molecules.

Experimental

L was prepared according to a literature method (Kang et al., 1991). A methanol suspension (15 ml) of $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (0.242 g, 1 mmol) and L (0.670 g, 2 mmol) was heated to reflux for 30 min. After it had been cooled to room temperature, the red-violet product was filtered off, washed with methanol, and air-dried. Recrystallization of the product from hot acetonitrile-water (1:2 v/v) solution gave reddish pink crystals of (I), suitable for X-ray analysis. Analysis calculated for C₂₀H₄₆CuN₆O₉: C 41.55, H 8.02, N 14.54%; found: C 41.91, H 8.25, N 14.72%.

Crystal data

$[Cu(NO_3)_2(C_{20}H_{40}N_4)]\cdot 3H_2O$	V = 656.2 (8) Å ³
$M_r = 578.17$	Z = 1
Triclinic, P1	$D_x = 1.463 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
a = 7.813 (5) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 8.746 (7) Å	$\mu = 0.89 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.715 (7) Å	T = 200 (2) K
$\alpha = 93.06 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Block, red-pink
$\beta = 106.86 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.10$ m
$\gamma = 108.43 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
Data collection	
Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID	6435 measured reflect
diffractometer	2969 independent rel
ω scans	2809 reflections with
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
$T_{\min} = 0.842, \ T_{\max} = 0.916$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ S = 1.192969 reflections 178 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

nm

ctions flections $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0752P)^2]$ + 0.2496P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.53 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.42 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$



Figure 1

A perspective view of (I) with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Dashed lines indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds. Water molecules have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.]

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-N2 Cu1-N1	2.021 (2) 2.029 (2)	Cu1-O1	2.746 (2)
N2-Cu1-N1 N2-Cu1-O1 N1-Cu1-O1	85.04 (7) 97.83 (9) 84.08 (6)	$N1 - Cu1 - N2^{i}$ $N1 - Cu1 - O1^{i}$ $N2 - Cu1 - O1^{i}$	94.96 (7) 95.92 (6) 82.17 (9)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1 - H1N \cdots O2$	0.93	2.05	2.975 (3)	171
$N2 - H2N \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{ii}$	0.93	2.32	3.133 (3)	145
$O4 - H4P \cdots O2$	0.79 (4)	2.10 (3)	2.870 (3)	169 (4)
$O4-H4O\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.84 (4)	2.25 (4)	3.036 (2)	158 (4)
6 (!!)	1 1 ("")	1		

Symmetry codes: (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii) -x, -y - 1, -z.

H atoms bonded to C and N atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C-H = 1.00 (CH), 0.99 (CH₂) and 0.98 Å (CH₃), N-H = 0.93 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$. The H atoms bonded to O4 (water molecule) were located in a difference map and refined isotropically. The position of another water molecule (O5) can be located in the difference map, but the electron density of this peak was relatively low. Because the elemental analysis gave a satisfactory result for a trihydrate of (I), the occupancy of O5 was assumed to be 0.5. The H atoms bonded to O5 were not located.

Data collection: PROCESS-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: PROCESS-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/ MSC, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This work was supported by a Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund) (KRF-2005–013-C00027).

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bakaj, M. & Zimmer, M. (1999). J. Mol. Struct. 508, 59-72.
- Choi, J.-H., Clegg, W., Harrington, R. W., Yoon, H.-M. & Hong, Y. P. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 0644-0646.
- Choi, K. Y. (2004). J. Chem. Cryst. 34, 603-607.

- Choi, K.-Y., Kim, J. C., Jensen, W. P., Suh, I.-H. & Choi, S.-S. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 2166–2168.
- Choi, K. Y., Ryu, H. & Suh, I. H. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 1241-1246.
- Choi, K. Y., Suh, I. H. & Kim, J. C. (1997). Polyhedron, 16, 1783-1786.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Higashi, T. (1995). Program for Absorption Correction. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Kang, S. G. & Kim, S. J. (2003). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 24, 269–273.
- Kang, S. G., Kweon, J. K. & Jung, S. K. (1991). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 12, 483–487.
- Rigaku (1998). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2004). CrystalStructure. Version 3.6.0. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.